UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/538,810 | 03/13/2006 | Frederic Lardeau | GSQZ 2 00074 | 5675 |
| 27885 FAY SHARPE | 7590 10/27/200 LLP | 9 | EXAMINER | |
| 1228 Euclid Av | enue, 5th Floor | SUTTON, ANDREW W | | |
| The Halle Build Cleveland, OH | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3765 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/27/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/538,810 | LARDEAU, FREI | LARDEAU, FREDERIC | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | ANDREW W. SUTTON | N 3765 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply | ppears on the cover shee | t with the correspondence a | ddress | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stati- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.136(a). In no event, however, many d will apply and will expire SIX (6) ate, cause the application to become | JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 | August 2009 | | | | | |
| - | | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| <u>ا</u> | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 8-11 and 18 is/are pending in the a | oplication. | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) 又 | ✓ Claim(s) 8-11 is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| - | ∑ Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | or election requirement | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| لکار≎. | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | · | | | | | |
| Attachmen | ıt(s) | | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Intervi | ew Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: | | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

In light of the examiner's arguments the examiner withdraws the rejection of claims 8-11. The examiner is withdrawing the previous final rejection and making to the following final rejection to provide clarification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epperson (US 6,279,172) in view of Sundberg (US 5.655.227) in further view of Bainbridge (US 6,357,054). Epperson teaches a helmet with a rigid shell 10 including an envelope 31 made of a flexible material with a supplemental padding 32 (made of polystyrene foam) and 33 being placed within the envelope. The envelope further includes a opening 31a to allow the removal and additional of padding. The envelope has an upper and lower wall 31 connected at the edge with the walls being formed of a soft material capable of being deformed. Epperson further teaches the use hook and loop fabric to attach the envelope 31 to the inside of the helmet 21. The envelope also serves as the liner of the helmet providing interior decoration. The envelope has a central section with two sides. Epperson teaches the device substantially above.

Epperson does not teach the envelope having a T shape. Sundberg teaches a helmet pad 12 having a t shape having a longitudinal central branch and a left and right side. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the envelope of Epperson with the pad of Sundberg to provide further protection of the wearer.

Epperson further teaches the use hook and loop fabric to attach the envelope 31 to the inside of the helmet 21. Epperson does not teach a plurality of deformable padding elements. Brainbridge teaches a protective pad with an envelope 8 with multiple padding elements 22. It would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Epperson with that of Bainbridge as the plurality of pads will provide a better fit to the wearer.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-11 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The claim teaches the multiple openings in the t shaped pocket which is not taught on the prior art.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW W. SUTTON whose telephone number is (571)272-6093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:45-5:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary L. Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,810 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

AWS 23 October 2009

/GARY L. WELCH/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3765